

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 and 9-22 are pending. Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the indication that claims 13-16 are allowed, and that claims 4-6 and 8-12 are allowable. Applicant has amended claim 7 and cancelled claim 8. Additionally, Applicant has included a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate the double patenting rejection over U.S. Patent No. 6,655,371, and has amended the title of the invention for consistency. Applicant respectfully asserts that all pending claims, as amended, are allowable and requests advancement of this case towards that end.

Double Patenting Rejections

Claims 1-3, 7 and 22 have been preliminarily rejected under judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over Gallops (U.S. Patent No. 6,655,371) in view of Angeloni (U.S. Patent No. 5,415,154), and claims 17-21 have been preliminarily rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over Gallops. Applicant includes a Terminal Disclaimer herewith, disclaiming the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the instant application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term, as shortened by any terminal disclaimer, of Gallops. In view of the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the judicially created rejections.


Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 1, 7, 17 and 20-22 have been preliminarily rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Angeloni. Applicant respectfully asserts that the present application is allowable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) since Angeloni does not disclose all claimed elements in claims 1, 13 and 17 as originally filed, and claim 7 as amended.

For example, Angeloni does not disclose a cable saver “adjustably mounted on said cable guard or swing arm for movement to and from the bow string” as recited in claims 1, 13 and 17. In contrast to the current claimed invention, Angeloni’s cable slide 15 is not adjustable and merely slides along cable guard 17 (Angeloni, FIG. 3A). Additionally, concerning amended claim 7, Angeloni does not disclose a cable extending from the fall-away arrow rest assembly to the swing arm. Since Angeloni does not disclose all claimed elements, claims 1, 7, 13 and 17 are allowable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over Angeloni. Claims 20-22 are dependent on claim 17 and allowable for at least this reason as well.

Applicant again wishes to thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 13-16 are allowed, and that claims 4-6 and 8-12 are allowable. Applicant respectfully asserts that all pending claims, as amended, are allowable and requests their approval. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned directly if it would be helpful to the advancement of this case.

Respectfully Submitted,

By 
Charles J. Meyer, Reg. No. 41,996
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP
Bank One Center/Tower
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-5137
(317) 634-3456